
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

BLENDTEC INC., a Utah corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BLENDJET, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
BLENDTEC’S MOTION TO QUASH
SUBPOENA TO THOMAS DICKSON**

Civil No. 2:21-cv-00668-TC-DBP

Judge Tena Campbell

Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

The Court, having read and considered Plaintiff Blendtec Inc.’s Motion to Quash Subpoena to Thomas Dickson, Defendant BlendJet Inc’s Response thereto, and for good cause shown, hereby rules as follows:

The Motion to Quash Subpoena to Thomas Dickson is DENIED. BlendJet is awarded its reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs incurred in responding to the Motion as provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(B).

It is so ordered.

DATED: December ____, 2022,

BY THE COURT:

U.S. Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead